

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/609,918 07/03/2000 | | Goran E. Enhorning | ENG901US | 3605 | |
| 7: | 590 07/08/2002 | | | | |
| John C Thom | | EXAMINER | | | |
| 69 Grayton Roa Tonawanda, N | ad Y 14150 | | CROSS, LA | CROSS, LATOYA I | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1743 | , (- | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002 | J | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | - 1 | CT |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| 7 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/609,918 | ENHORNING, GORAN E. | |
| Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | -6. |
| | LaToya I. Cross | 1743 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply | ation appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO | R REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M | ONTH(S) FROM | |

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

| after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) | filed on <u>03 J</u> | <u>uly 2000</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ Thi | is action is non | -final. | | | |
| 3)□ Dispositi | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ | are withdraw | vn from conside | eration. | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🖾 | Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restr | iction and/or | r election requir | rement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the | ne Examiner | r. | | | | |
| 10)[] 7 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) □ accep | oted or b) obje | ected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any ol | ojection to the | e drawing(s) be h | neld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) 🔲 7 | The proposed drawing correction file | ed on | is: a)∏ appro | ved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are re | equired in rep | oly to this Office a | action. | | | |
| 12) 🔲 7 | he oath or declaration is objected t | o by the Exa | aminer. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | • • | | | 7 | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | 4) <u> </u> 5) <u> </u> . 6) <u> </u> | 5 | | | |

rippineation, control i

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,844,686 to Treptow et al.

Treptow et al '686 teach a pipette apparatus. The pipette apparatus comprises a piston (suction) portion and a pipette tip portion as recited in claim 1. The piston portion controls the draw of liquid up into pipette tip or the releasing of the liquid (col. 2, lines 47-55). The pipette tip serves to absorb the piqued. At col. 2, lines 33-36 and col. 3, lines 16-20, Treptow et al teach that the pipette tip is made of inexpensive plastic material. Regarding the relationship between the piston portion and pipette tip portion, Treptow et al teach that the piston is provided with an accommodation (3) for receiving the pipette tip (4) therein. The accommodation (3) serves as a female member into which the pipette tip is disposed (col. 4, lines 44-51).

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants, claimed invention is deemed to be anticipated by Treptow et al '686.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are



Application/Control Number: 09/609,918

Art Unit: 1743

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Treptow et al '686 in view of US Patent 5,468,453 to Holt et al.

The disclosure of Treptow et al '686 is given in detail above.

Treptow et al '686 fail to teach any particular plastic material for the pipette tip.

Holt et al '453 teach a pipette device having a pipette tip portion. The pipette tip is made of polytetrafluoroethylene plastic material (Teflon). See abstract and Col. 2, lines 43-54. The polytetrafluoroethylene is non-wettable (hydrophobic). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a teflon material, such as disclosed by Holt et al '453 to make the pipette tip of Treptow et al '686 because Holt et al '453 teach that Teflon inhibits fluid adhesion to the tube, thereby assuring a precise amount of liquid is taken up by the pipette.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants' claimed invention is deemed to be obvious in view of Treptow et al '686 and Holt et al '453.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 703-305-7360.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 09/609,918

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

LIC

June 30, 2002.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700